



**Petition No. 1540/2019**

**BEFORE**

**THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**LUCKNOW**

**Date of Order: 29.11.2021**

**PRESENT:**

Hon'ble Shri Raj Pratap Singh, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Kaushal Kishore Sharma, Member

**IN THE MATTER OF:** Petition under section 86 (1) (b) and Section 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Article 12 of the Power Purchase Agreement dated 03.12.2015 executed between M/s T A Greentech Private Limited (a Project Company formed by M/s Technical Associates Ltd.) and Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited seeking approval of Change in Law events due to promulgation of GST Laws and Safe Guard Duty.

1. M/s T A Greentech Private Limited  
8th km, Faizabad Road, Vijaypur,  
Gomti Nagar, Lucknow-226010

2. M/s Technical Associates Limited  
8th Km., Faizabad Road, Vijaypur,  
Gomti Nagar, Lucknow, U.P. - 226010

..... **Petitioner**

1. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited  
Shakti Bhawan, 14 Ashok Marg, Lucknow - 226001

2. The Uttar Pradesh New & Renewable Energy  
Development Agency (UPNEDA)  
Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow - 226010

.....**Respondents**

The following were present:

1. Shri Deepak Raizada, CE, UPPCL
2. Shri Rahul Kumar, Advocate, T.A Greentech Pvt. Ltd.
3. Shri Amarjeet Singh Rakhra, Advocate, UPPCL
4. Shri Nishant Shukla, Advocate, UPSLDC

**ORDER**

**(Date of Hearing 16.11.2021)**

1. The Commission, vide Order dated 27.09.2021 has allowed the request of the Petitioner to respond to the arguments of Respondents about the Commission's



considered module cost (i.e., Rs. 2.65 Cr./MW) is more than the claimed cost (i.e., Rs. 2.61 Cr./MW). However, the Petitioner has not filed their reply.

The matter came up for hearing today.

2. Shri Rahul Kumar, counsel on behalf of the Petitioner submitted that shri Pranav Agarwal was not available for today's hearing due to some personal reason and requested the Commission for the adjournment.
3. Shri Amarjeet Singh Rakhra, Advocate of UPPCL submitted that they have no objection to the request of the Petitioner. Further, Sh. Amarjeet Singh Rakhra stated that the Petitioner has not filed their reply on the module cost.
4. After hearing the parties, the Commission allowed the request of the Petitioner to adjourn the today's hearing and directed the Petitioner to file their reply in compliance to the order dated 27.09.2021 with a copy to the Respondents within two weeks.

**List the matter for final hearing on 01.02.2022.**

**(Kaushal Kishore Sharma)**  
**Member**

**(Raj Pratap Singh)**  
**Chairman**

Place: Lucknow  
Dated: 29.11.2021