Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi –110017.

F.11(1805)/DERC/2020-21/6880

Petition No. 36/2020

In the matter of :	**Petition for seeking approval of the Commission to levy Differential Power Purchase Adjustment Cost pertaining to the period commencing from April-June, 2020 for FY 2020-21.**

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd. **…. Petitioner**

Petition No. 48/2020

In the matter of :	**Petition for seeking approval of the Commission to levy Differential Power Purchase Adjustment Cost pertaining to the period commencing from July' 2020 to Sept. 2020 of FY 2020-21.**

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd. **…. Petitioner**

Petition No. 17/2021

In the matter of :	**Petition for seeking approval of the Commission to levy Differential Power Purchase Adjustment Cost pertaining to the period commencing from October'20 and ending in December'20 of FY 2020-21.**

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd. **…. Petitioner**

Petition No. 51/2021

In the matter of :	**Petition seeking approval of the Commission to allow Petition to levy Differential Power Purchase Adjustment Cost pertaining to the period commencing from April' 2021 and ending in June, 2021of FY2021-22.**

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd. **…. Petitioner**

Coram:
Hon'ble Shri Justice Shabihul Hasnain 'Shastri', Chairperson
Hon'ble Dr. A. K. Ambasht, Member

Appearance:
Mr. Anand Srivastava, Adv., TPDDL

ORDER

(Date of Order: 01.11.2021)

The issue involved in above petitions are for Recovery of Power Purchase Adjustment Cost for FY 2020-21 and for part of FY 2021-22. Since, the issue to be decided in these petitions is similar in nature, we decide to adjudicate all four petitions by this Common Order.

1. The instant Petitions have been filed by Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd. (TPDDL) seeking permission to Levy Power Purchase Adjustment Cost (herein after called PPAC) and consequently recover the differential PPAC pertaining to the quarters;

   (i) April' 2020 to June' 2020
   (ii) July'2020 to September' 2020
   (iii) October' 2020 to December' 2020 and
   (iv) April' 2021 to June' 2021

   in accordance with Section 62 (2) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 134 of the DERC (Terms and conditions for determination of Tariff) Regulations, 2019 and Regulation 30 of the DERC (Business Plan) Regulations, 2019

2. **PETITIONER'S SUBMISSION:**

   i. The Petitioner has levied PPAC of 8.75% pertaining to the period (i) April' 2020 to June' 2020 (ii) July'2020 to September' 2020 (iii) October' 2020 to December' 2020 and (iv) April' 2021 to June' 2021 from the respective next billing cycles, i.e. for next three months, in terms of Business Plan Regulations, 2019.

   ii. Based on the audited accounts and records, the Petitioner has calculated the differential PPAC percentage for the period (i) April' 2020 to June' 2020 (ii) July'2020 to September' 2020 (iii) October' 2020 to December' 2020 and (iv) April' 2021 to June' 2021 for FY 2021-22 in line with the PPAC methodology specified by the Commission, which are as under:

S.No.	Petition No.	Period	Differential PPAC %
1.	36/2020	April to June, 2020 (Q1) FY 21	0.21%
2.	48/2020	July to September, 2020 (Q2) FY 21	0.12%
3.	17/2021	October to December, 2020 (Q3) FY 21	0.84%
4.	51/2021	April to June, 2021 (Q1) FY 22	0.13%

3. **COMMISSION'S ANALYSIS:**

   i. It is observed that the Commission in its Tariff Order issued on 30.09.2021, has considered the claim of differential PPAC and allowed the differential in the said Tariff Order as under. The relevant para of the Tariff order is extracted below:

> *"4.170 The Commission has provisionally subsumed the tentative impact to the tune of 80% in ARR of FY 2021-22 for differential claim of 1.30% of above mentioned Petitions amounting to Rs. 13.69 Cr. which is subject to true up and difference, if any, will be allowed with Carrying Cost.*
>
> *The Petitioner has levied various PPAC in FY 2021-22 (till Sept.'21) as per provisions of DERC (Business Plan), Regulations, 2019 and Commission's letter dtd. 9/04/2021 whose expected revenue recovered is around Rs. 626.57 Cr., which has been considered in FY 2021-22 revenue projection. This is subject to true-up and difference, if any, will be adjusted with Carrying Cost."*

ii. The claim relating to PPAC of the Petitioner has been subsumed appropriately in the Tariff Order dated 30.09.2021 and the difference, if any, will be considered/allowed with Carrying Cost, on verification of Power Purchase and Transmission Bills, on True up.

4. It is ordered Accordingly.

Sd/-	**Sd/-**
(Dr. A.K. Ambasht)	**(Justice Shabihul Hasnain 'Shastri')**
Member	**Chairperson**