

# Petition No. 1711 of 2021 BEFORE

## THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION LUCKNOW

Date of Order ( $\circ$ 8.12.2021)

#### PRESENT:

- 1. Hon'ble Shri Raj Pratap Singh, Chairman
- 2. Hon'ble Shri Kaushal Kishore Sharma, Member
- 3. Hon'ble Shri Vinod Kumar Srivastava, Member (Law)

IN THE MATTER OF: Petition under Section 86 (1) (b), (e) & (f) of the Electricity
Act 2003 read with Regulation 57 of the UPERC (Conduct of
Business) Regulations, 2019 for approval of the extension
in the Schedule Commercial Operation Date ("SCOD") of
the Bunda Solar Power Plant to be operated the Petitioner
for supply of 25 MW of Solar Photovoltaic Power to the
Noida Power Corporation Ltd. under the Power Purchase
Agreements dated 13.02.2019.

 Tata Power Renewable Energy Limited (TPREL) Corporate Centre, 34 Sant Tukaram Road, Carnac Bunder, Mumbai- 400009.

----- Petitioner

#### Versus

- Noida Power Corporation Limited (NPCL)
   Electric Sub-station, Knowledge Park IV, Greater Noida,
   Gautam Buddha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh 201310,
- 2. Uttar Pradesh New and Renewable Energy Development Agency, (UPNEDA) Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow, 226010

----- Respondents

#### Following were present:

- 1. Sh. Venkatesh, Counsel, TPREL
- 2. Sh. Ashwini Patil, TPREL
- 3. Sh. Narendra Singh, UPNEDA
- 4. Sh. Amitav Singh, Advocate, UPNEDA
- 5. Sh. Nishant Shukla, Advocate, UPNEDA
- 6. Ms. Soumya Stuti, Counsel, NPCL
- 7. Sh. Abhishek Anand, NPCL
- 8. Sh. Utkarsh Saxena



Page 1 of 2

2



### ORDER (Date of Hearing 23.11.2021)

1. During the last hearing, the Commission vide order dated 05.10.2021 directed the Petitioner to furnish the delay analysis supported by PERT chart of the project. In compliance to the Commission's direction, the Petitioner has filed the details on 20.10.2021. UPNEDA has filed their reply on 18.11.2021, however, NPCL has not filed their reply.

The matter came up for hearing on today.

- 2. Ms. Soumya Stuti, Counsel of the Respondent, NPCL requested the Commission to allow them one more week time to file their reply before the Commission.
- 3. Sh. Venkatesh submitted that they have no objection to the request of the Respondent and sought 4-week time to file their rejoinder, thereafter. Further, Sh. Venkatesh requested the Commission to hear another similar petition No. 1709/2021 on the same day.
- After hearing the parties, the Commission allowed the request of the Respondent and the Petitioner to file their reply and rejoinder in a week and 4-week time respectively.

List the matter on 08.02.2022.

(Vinod Kumar Srivastava) Member (Kaushal Kishore Sharma) Member

(Raj Pratap Singh) Chairman

Place: Lucknow
Date: 08 .12.2021