



3. The Commission observed that the O&M and service agreement was done with one of the group companies without going through the process of competitive bidding. Further, during the proceedings, on the specific query of the Commission with respect establishing the parity with the Hon'ble APTEL's Judgement on the issue of registered agreement, the Counsel submitted that the issue of submission of registered O&M Agreement was not raised during the proceedings of Hon'ble APTEL and that O&M agreements signed by other subsidiary Azure Power firms were also unregistered.
4. Mr. Divyanshu Bhatt, Counsel for UPPCL requested the Commission to allow some time to respond to the rejoinder filed by Azure Power.
5. After hearing both the parties, the Commission allowed the request of the respondent and allowed three weeks' time to Respondent to respond to the rejoinder.

List the matter for next hearing 28.07.2022.

**(Vinod Kumar Srivastava)**  
**Member (Law)**

**(Kaushal Kishore Sharma)**  
**Member**

**(Raj Pratap Singh)**  
**Chairman**

Place: Lucknow

Dated: 20.07.2022