

# THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION LUCKNOW

### Petition No. 2049 of 2023

#### QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman
Hon'ble Shri Vinod Kumar Srivastava, Member (Law)

#### IN THE MATTER OF

Petition filed for determination of Tariff for the 330 MW (4X82.5 MW) Shrinagar Hydro Electric Project for the control period FY 2024-25 to FY 2028-29 in terms of Section 62 and 86 of Electricity Act 2003 read with UPERC (Terms and Conditions of Generation Tariff) Regulations, 2019 and Amended and Restated PPA dated 28.06.2006 executed between AHPCL and UPPCL.

#### AND

#### IN THE MATTER OF

# Alaknanda Hydro Power Company Ltd. (AHPCL)

156-159, Paigah House, SP Road, Secunderabad, Telangana - 500003

...... Petitioner

# **VERSUS**

## Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd. (UPPCL),

Shakti Bhawan, 14-Ashok Marg, Lucknow-226001.

...... Respondent

# **FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT**

- 1. Shri Santosh Reddy, Director, AHPCL
- 2. Shri Dileep Tripathi, Dy. Manager, AHPCL
- 3. Shri Srinivas Reddy T, AVP, AHPCL
- 4. Shri Mannava Sodekar, Advocate, AHPCL
- 5. Shri Deepak Raizada, C.E(PPA), UPPCL
- 6. Shri Divyanshu Bhatt, Advocate, UPPCL
- 7. Shri Sashwat Singh, Advocate, UPPCL

#### ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 13.02.2024)





B

Page 1 of 3



- 1. The Petitioner, AHPCL filed this Petition seeking determination of Tariff for the 4X82.5 MW Shrinagar HEP for the control period 2024-29 in terms of Section 62 and 86 of Electricity Act 2003 read with UPERC Generation Tariff Regulations 2019. The prayer of the Petitioner are as follows:
  - (a) Allow/determine the Annual Fixed Charges for the Project of AHPCL for the period 01.04.2024 to 31.03.2029 as detailed in Tariff Forms-1 to 16 till the final MYT Tariff issued by the Commission.
  - (b) Grant liberty and give directions to recover the interest accrued to be charged to the beneficiaries due to the difference between the interim and the final tariff determined by the Commission for the same period.
  - (c) Allow the Design energy of 1251.94 MU and NAPAF of 43.31% as approved for the previous Control period.
  - (d) Allow to make the amendment(s) in the Tariff Petition as per the Tariff Regulations for the control period of 2024-29 as and when the Commission issues new Tariff Regulations.
  - (e) Allow the Capacity Charges as detailed in Section I.
  - (f) Allow the Energy Charges as detailed in Section II.
  - (g) Allow any addition, change, modification, alteration of the present Petition, if required, at a later stage.
  - (h) Direct the Respondent to continue to pay the monthly Tariff on a provisional basis as fixed by this Commission for the FY 2023-24 vide its orders dated 20.04.2023 in Petition No. 1642 of 2020, until this Commission determines the Tariff Payable during the Control Period 2024 to 2029; and
  - (i) Pass such order(s) as the Commission may deem fit in the circumstances and facts of the present Petition.

The matter came up for hearing today.

2. During the hearing, Sh. Mannava Sodekar, Counsel of AHPCL submitted that this Petition has been filed for determination of tariff for the next control period and the Counsel further requested the Commission to provisionally allow the tariff determined by the Commission in the Order dated 20,04.2023 till the time this Commission



( Ph



finalised the new Generation Tariff Regulations for the next control period i.e., FY 2024-25 to FY 2028-29.

- 3. The Commission opines that a SUO MOTO order for all the generating station would be better instead of giving directions on a case-to-case basis in the interest of time of the stakeholders.
- 4. Sh. Sodekar stated that considering a general/Suo Moto Order, they may be permitted to withdraw the instant Petition. UPPCL did not object to the withdrawal of the instant Petition.

In view of the above, the Commission dismissed the matter as withdrawn.

(Vinod Kumar Srivastava)

Member (Law)

(Arvind Kumar)

Chairman

Place: Lucknow

Dated: 20.02.2024

