

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**New Delhi**

**Petition No. 143/TT/2025**

Subject : Petition for truing up of the Annual Transmission Charges for the 2019-24 tariff period and approval of the Annual Transmission Charges for the 2024-29 tariff period for the Combined Transmission Asset consisting of (i) 400 kV Double Circuit Siliguri-Purnea Transmission Line, 400 kV Double Circuit Purnea-Muzaffarpur Transmission Line and 220 kV Double Circuit Muzaffarpur (PGCIL)-Muzaffarpur (BSEB) Transmission Line, in the Eastern Region; (ii) 400 kV Double Circuit Muzaffarpur-Gorakhpur Transmission Line in the Eastern-Northern Inter-region; and (iii) 400 kV Double Circuit Gorakhpur-Lucknow Transmission Line and 400 kV Double Circuit Bareilly-Mandola Transmission Line, in the Northern Region associated with Tala HEP of Powerlinks Transmission Limited.

Petitioner : Powerlinks Transmission Limited (PTL)

Respondents : Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (PGCIL) and 23 Others

Date of Hearing : **29.5.2025**

Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson  
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member  
Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member

Parties Present : Shri. Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Advocate, PTL  
Shri. Adarsh Singh, Advocate, PTL  
Shri. Punyam Bhutani, Advocate, PTL  
Shri Himanshu Bhushan, Advocate, DTL  
Shri. Jatin Mahani, PTL

**Record of Proceedings**

The learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the present Petition has been filed for the truing up of the transmission tariff for the 2019-24 tariff period and determination of the transmission tariff for the 2024-29 tariff period for the Combined Assets consisting of the following transmission assets:

- (a) Asset A-1: Siliguri-Purnea 400 kV D/C (Quad. Conductor) Transmission Line
- (b) Asset A-2: Purnea-Muzaffarpur (New) 400 kV D/C (Quad. Conductor) Transmission Line
- (c) Asset A-3: Muzaffarpur (New)-Gorakhpur (New) 400 kV D/C (Quad. Conductor) Transmission Line



- (d) Asset A-4: Gorakhpur (New)-Lucknow (New) 400 kV D/C Transmission Line
- (e) Asset A-5: Bareilly-Mandola 400 kV D/C Transmission Line
- (f) Asset A-6: 220 kV D/C line from Muzaffarpur 400/220 kV new Sub-station to Muzaffarpur 220 kV Sub-station (BSEB) Transmission Line

2. The Petitioner's counsel further submitted that the Petitioner has inadvertently mentioned the tariff period as 2014-19 in place of the 2019-24 in the prayer clause (c) of the Petition and has failed to claim the application fee, publication expenses, etc. Therefore, the Petitioner may be allowed to amend the prayer clause of the Petition.

3. The learned counsel for the Delhi Transco Limited (DTL), Respondent No. 10, requested time to file a reply in the matter. No other Respondent has appeared or filed a reply in the matter despite notice.

4. After hearing the parties, the Commission directed as under:

- (a) The Petitioner is to file an Interlocutory Application to amend the prayers of the Petition, within a week.
- (b) Implead CTUIL as a party to the Petition and serve a copy of the Petition to it.
- (c) As a last opportunity, the Respondents are to file their respective replies within four weeks with a copy to the Petitioner, and the Petitioner is to file its rejoinder, if any, within two weeks thereafter, with a copy to the Respondents.
- (d) The Petitioner to file the following information on an affidavit within two weeks, with a copy to the Respondents:
  - (i) Auditor's/ Management Certificate in respect of the projected ACE and De-cap during the 2024-29 period.
  - (ii) The computation of the normative IDC on the ACE, including the excel file.
  - (iii) Justification for not meeting the expenditure against the Aviation lights, Safety Equipment, Procurement of 9 sets of Telephone, Software, and miscellaneous hardware, etc., under O&M Expenses, as the said expenditure seems to be of the O&M nature.
  - (iv) Whether the store at Muzaffarpur is part of the original scope of work?
  - (v) The actual security expenses for the 2019-24 tariff period with valid documentary evidence. The assessment of the security expenses requirement at the Muzaffarpur store yard for the 2024-29 tariff period.



- (vi) The details and documentary evidence of the expenditure claimed by the Petitioner against the common facilities from the 2019-24 period.
- (vii) Clarify whether the procurement of a drone is a part of the original scope of work or beyond the original scope of work.
- (viii) Whether the laying of the OPGW is a part of the original scope of work?
- (ix) Details of the minutes of the OCC and TCC meeting in which the agenda point with respect to the installation of the OPGW in the Petitioner's transmission system has been discussed.

5. The matter will be listed for the hearing on **20.08.2025**.

**By order of the Commission**

**sd/-  
(T. D. Pant)  
Joint Chief (Law)**

