

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
NEW DELHI**

Petition No. 196/TT/2025

Subject : Petition for truing up of Transmission tariff for 2019-24 and determination of transmission tariff for 2024-29 tariff block for Asset-I: 50 MVAR Line Reactor at Jaipur (South) in 400 kV D/C Kota - Jaipur (South) line (Part of 400 kV D/C RAPP- Jaipur TL) utilized as Bus Reactor at Jaipur (South) Sub-station and Asset-II: 400 kV D/C Kota - Jaipur (South) line along with associated bays at Kota and Jaipur (South) (Part of RAPP-Jaipur (S) 400 kV D/C line with one Ckt LILLO at Kota) under Transmission System associated with RAPP 7 & 8, Part B, in Northern Region.

Petitioner : Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (PGCIL).

Respondents : Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd & others.

Petition No. 385/TT/2025

Subject : Petition for truing up of transmission tariff for 2019-24 and determination of transmission tariff for 2024-29 tariff block for Combined Asset under Establishment of Fibre Optic communication system in Northern Region.

Petitioner : Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (PGCIL).

Respondents : Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd & others.

Date of Hearing : **30.5.2025.**

Coram : Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member.
Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member

Parties Present : Shri Naman Saraswat, Advocate, NPCIL
Shri G. Vijay, PGCIL
Shri Vivek Kumar Singh, PGCIL

Record of Proceedings

During the course of the hearing, the Petitioners' representatives submitted that the Petitioner has filed the information sought through the Technical Validation letter dated 11.4.2025 vide a separate affidavit dated 21.4.2025. He prayed that the tariff as claimed in the respective Petitions may be allowed.



2. None was present on behalf of the Respondents despite service except for Nuclear Power Corporation of India Limited (NPCIL) in Petition No. 196/TT/2025.

3. The learned counsel for Respondent No. 16, NPCIL appearing in Petition No. 196/TT/2025, submitted that the Petitioner had mistakenly mapped it as Respondent No.16, in Petition No 204/TT/2025 instead of the present Petition, due to which it was unable to file its reply. Accordingly, it prayed for one week's time to file its reply in the matter. Considering the submissions of both the NPCIL and the Petitioner, the Commission directed the Petitioner to correctly map the respondents and NPCIL to file its reply in the matter within a week with an advance copy to the Petitioner who may file its rejoinder, if any, within two weeks' thereafter.

4. After hearing the Petitioner's representative in Petition No. 385/TT/2025, the Commission directed the Petitioner to furnish the following additional information on an affidavit within two weeks with an advance copy to the Respondents who may file their respective replies, if any, within one week thereafter. The Petitioner may file rejoinder, if any, a week thereafter:

Break-up of the capital cost- element wise break of PLCC (OPGW and Communication System excluding OPGW) from COD to 31.3.2019 in the following format for all the 10 assets:

Element	Capital Cost as on COD	Add Cap					Capital Cost as on 31.3.2019
		2014 -15	2015 -16	2016 -17	2017 -18	2018 -19	
OPGW							
Communication System excluding OPGW							
Total							

5. The Petitions will be listed for hearing on **20.8.2025**.

By order of the Commission

**sd/-
(T.D. Pant)
Joint Chief (Law)**