

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
NEW DELHI**

**Petition No.239/MP/2021**

Subject : Petition under Sections 61, 63 and 79 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with the statutory framework and Article 11 and Article 12 of the Transmission Service Agreement dated 28.6.2017 executed between Goa Tamnar Transmission Project Limited and its Long-Term Transmission Customers *inter alia* seeking consequential and declaratory reliefs with respect to the Change in Law and Force Majeure events that have adversely impacted the implementation of the Project.

Petitioner : Goa Tamnar Transmission Project Limited (GTTPL)

Respondents : Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd. and Ors.

Date of Hearing : **22.5.2025**

Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson  
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member  
Shri Harish Dudani, Member  
Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member

Parties Present : Shri Deep Rao Palepu, Advocate, GTTPL  
Shri Mohd. Munis Siddique, Advocate, GTTPL  
Ms. Shikha Sood, Advocate, GTTPL  
Shri Ravi Sharma, Advocate, MPPMCL  
Shri Aryan Chanda, Advocate, MPPMCL  
Shri G. Umapathy, Sr. Advocate, MSEDCL  
Ms. Sneha Singh, Advocate, MSEDCL  
Ms. Nishtha Goel, Advocate, MSEDCL

**Record of Proceedings**

At the outset, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that recently the Commission in its order dated 20.4.2025 in Petition No. 131/MP/2024 (Mumbai Urja Marg Limited v. MSEDCL and Ors.) has *inter alia* held that unless the events claimed as Change in Law are consented to by the beneficiaries of region, in which the transmission system is located, or is specifically adjudicated and allowed by the Commission, NLDC and/or CTUIL, shall not include such claims under recovery under the Sharing Regulations, 2020. In view of this, the recovery of the Petitioner's Change in Law claims, post the Change in Law Rules, 2021, could not commence in terms thereof and accordingly, the Petitioner may be permitted to amend the present Petition so as to include its such Change in Law claims in the present Petition itself. Learned counsel further added that the Petitioner has also filed its compliance affidavit in terms of the Record of Proceedings for the hearing dated 27.2.2025.

2. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the Petitioner, the Commission permitted the Petitioner to file an appropriate application seeking



amendment(s) to the Petition, as prayed for, within two weeks. The Respondents were also permitted to file their response, if any, to the aforesaid application to be moved by Petitioner, within four weeks thereafter.

3. The Petition, along with IA to be filed by the Petitioner as above, will be listed for hearing on **26.8.2025**.

**By order of the Commission**  
**Sd/-**  
**(T.D. Pant)**  
**Joint Chief (Law)**