CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION **NEW DELHI**

Petition No. 324/MP/2025

Subject : Petition under Section 79(1)(c) and 79(1)(f) of the Electricity Act,

> 2003 read with Clause 5(xiii) of Detailed Procedure issued under Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Connectivity and General Network Access) Regulations, 2022 seeking direction to CTUIL/Respondent to approve AEPL's request for renewable energy source change with respect to Connectivity dated

1.2.2024.

Petitioner : Avaada Energy Private Limited (AEPL).

: Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL). Respondent

Date of Hearing **: 22.5.2025**

Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson

> Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member Shri Harish Dudani, Member

Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member

Parties Present : Shri Abhishek Kumar, Advocate, AEPL

Ms. Shubham Mudgil, Advocate, AEPL

Shri Abhinav Kapoor, AEPL

Shri Shubham Arya, Advocate, CTUIL Ms. Reeha Singh, Advocate, CTUIL Shri Rishabh Saxena, Advocate, CTUIL Shri Harshvardhan, Advocate, CTUIL

Ms. Muskan Agarwal, CTUIL Shri Lashit Sharma, CTUIL Ms. Priyansi Jadiya, CTUIL Ms. Kavya Bhardwaj, CTUIL

Record of Proceedings

Learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the present Petition had been filed seeking direction to the Respondent, CTUIL, to approve the Petitioner's request for Renewable Energy source change with respect to the final connectivity dated 1.2.2024 granted to it for 50 MW at 400/220 kV Jam Khambhaliya Pooling S/s with a hybrid source. Learned counsel for the Petitioner further submitted that given that, by Record of Proceedings for the hearing dated 13.5.2025 in Petition No. 453/MP/2025 and Anr., the Commission has already issued the directions to CTUIL to process the land documents in the name of subsidiary where the Connectivity has been granted to the Parent company or vice versa till the issuance of the third amendment to the GNA Regulations, the Petitioner will be approaching the CTUIL for the above purpose.

Learned counsel for the Respondent, CTUIL, also fairly submitted that 2. grievance raised by the Petitioner in the present case is consequential to grievance

raised in the Petition No. 279/MP/2025 and the grievance in the latter has already been addressed by the Commission vide its Record of Proceedings dated 13.5.2025 in Petition No. 453/MP/2025 and Anr.

3. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, the Commission reserved the matter for order.

> By order of the Commission Sd/-(T.D. Pant) Joint Chief (Law)