CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION **NEW DELHI**

Petition No.75/AT/2025

: Application under Section 63 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for Subject

> Adoption of Transmission Charges with respect to the Transmission System being established by Pune – III

Transmission Limited.

Petitioner : Pune – III Transmission Limited (PTL)

Respondents : Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) & Ors.

Petition No. 78/TL/2025

Subject : Application under Sections-14, 15, 79(1)(e) of the Electricity Act,

> 2003, read with Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Procedure, Terms and Conditions for Grant of Transmission License and other related matters) Regulations, 2024, with

respect to Grant of Transmission Licence.

Petitioner : Pune – III Transmission Limited (PTL)

Respondents : Central Transmission Utility of India Limited and Ors.

Date of Hearing: 5.6.2025

Coram Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member

Shri Harish Dudani, Member

Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member

Parties Present: Shri Prashant Kumar, PTL

Shri Naveen, PFCCL

Record of Proceedings

At the outset, the representative of the Petitioner submitted that the present Petitions have been filed seeking adoption of the transmission charges discovered through the tariff based competitive bidding process for the "Transmission System for evacuation of power from potential renewable energy zones in Khavda area of Gujarat under Phase IV (7GW): Part D" ('the Project') and for the grant of a transmission licence for establishing the said Project. He further submitted that pursuant to the direction of the Commission, the Petitioner has impleaded MSETCL as a party to these Petitions and despite being given several opportunities, MSETCL has not filed any reply in the matter.

2. In response to the specific query of the Commission regarding the status of the affidavit to be filed by the Bid Process Coordinator (BPC) i.e., PFC Consulting Limited (PFCCL), justifying the difference between the normative tariff determined in accordance with the Commission's norms and the tariff discovered through the competitive bidding process, the representative of PFCCL submitted that efforts were made to convene a meeting of the Cost Committee. However, due to certain exigencies and the non-availability of members from Maharashtra as a result thereof, the meeting could not be held as scheduled last week and has now been rescheduled for today itself. He accordingly requested for a week's time to file the compliance affidavit.

- 3. Considering the above, the Commission permitted the Respondent, PFCCL, to file its compliance affidavit within a week.
- 4. The matters will be listed for hearing on **20.6.2025**.

By order of the Commission Sd/-(T.D. Pant) Joint Chief (Law)