

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
NEW DELHI**

**Petition No. 257/TT/2025**

**Subject** : Petition for determination of transmission tariff for the 2024-29 tariff period for 1X500 MVA, 400/230 KV ICT (4<sup>th</sup>) along with associated bays and equipments at Arasur Sub-station under “Augmentation of Transformation Capacity by 1X500 MVA, 400 kV/ 230 KV ICT (4<sup>th</sup>) at Arasur Sub-station” in the Southern Region.

**Petitioner** : Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (PGCIL)

**Respondent** : Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corporation Limited and 13 others

**Date of Hearing** : **22.7.2025**

**Coram** : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson  
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member  
Shri Harish Dudani, Member  
Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member

**Parties Present** : Shri S. Vallinayagam, Advocate, TANGEDCO  
Shri Zafrul Hasan, PGCIL  
Shri Naresh Kumar Angaru, PGCIL  
Shri Vijay G, PGCIL  
Shri Ranjeet Pandey, PGCIL  
Shri Ashish Alankar, PGCIL

**Record of Proceedings**

During the course of the hearing, the representative for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner has already filed all the requisite information along with the Petition and requested that the Commission allow the tariff in the above matters.

2. After hearing the representative for the Petitioner, the Commission directed as under:

(a) The Petitioner to implead CTUIL as a respondent and to file a revised memo of parties within two days.

(b) The Respondents, including TANGEDCO and CTUIL, to file their respective replies, if any, within two weeks, with a copy to the Petitioner, who may file its rejoinder, if any, within two weeks thereafter.

(c) The CTUIL to submit the following information, on an affidavit, within two weeks, with an advance copy to the respondents:



(i) As per the Minute of Meeting (MoM) dated 21.3.2025 of the 28<sup>th</sup> NCT meeting, the timeline for implementation of the projects under RTM has been extended. Confirm whether the said decision has been implemented for the instant transmission asset as well as for the other OMs issued earlier by the CTUIL.

(d) The Petitioner to submit the following information, on an affidavit, within two weeks:

- (i) Detailed comparison of awarded cost vis-à-vis completion cost.
- (ii) Provide the activity-wise time delay justification as per the following format:

Asset	Activity-wise details	Original Schedule		Days	Actual Schedule		Days	Time Overrun days	Reason for Delay
		Start	End		Start	End			

3. The Petition will be listed for hearing on **16.9.2025**.

**By order of the Commission**

**sd/  
(T. D. Pant)  
Joint Chief (Law)**

