

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
NEW DELHI**

Petition No. 428/TL/2025

Subject : Application under Sections 14, 15 and 79(1)(e) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Procedure, Terms and Conditions for Grant of Transmission Licence and other related matters) Regulations, 2024 with respect to grant of a separate Transmission Licence to Mumbai Urja Marg Limited for implementation of an ISTS Project under RTM Route.

Petitioner : Mumbai Urja Marg Limited (MUML).

Respondent : Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd. and Ors.

Date of Hearing : **20.6.2025**

Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member
Shri Harish Dudani, Member
Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member

Parties Present : Ms. Suparna Srivastava, Advocate, MUML
Ms. Arshiya, Advocate, MUML
Ms. Ranjitha Ramachandran, Advocate, GETCO
Shri Swapnil Verma, CTUIL

Record of Proceedings

Learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the present Petition has been filed for the grant of a separate transmission licence for implementation of the Inter-State Transmission Project, viz. 2 Nos. of 220 kV bays at Vapi-II S/s (MUML) for LILO of Chikhli-Vapi 220 kV S/C line at Vapi-II S/s, under the RTM route. Learned counsel further submitted that the Petitioner has complied with all the requirements for the grant of a transmission licence and that CTUIL has given its recommendations in this regard to the Petitioner company under Section 15(4) of the Electricity Act, 2003.

2. The representative of the Respondent, CTUIL, submitted that in pursuance of the direction of the Commission vide Record of Proceedings ('RoP') for the hearing dated 20.5.2025, CTUIL has filed its recommendations for the grant of a transmission licence to the Petitioner. However, regarding the date of implementation of LILO of Chikhli-Vapi, the representative sought additional time to file the said information. He further submitted that, as per the said RoP, CTUIL was directed to file a copy of the agreement executed between MUML and GETCO, including the implementation date of the bays under the RTM and the LILO executed by GETCO. However, since CTUIL is not a party to the said agreement, the representative prayed that the concerned party(ies) be directed to place on record the copy of said agreement.

3. In response, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the agreement between MUML and GETCO is yet to be executed, and a copy will be filed by the Petitioner upon its execution.

4. Learned counsel for Respondent No.6, DNH Power Distribution Corporation Ltd. ('DNH') submitted that she has been engaged in this matter only recently and, accordingly, sought 10 days additional time to file its reply in the matter.

5. CTUIL is directed to file on an affidavit within a week a copy of the relevant documents vide which GETCO has been informed the implementation schedule of ISTS bays under the instant transmission scheme.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsels and the representative of the parties, the Commission permitted the Respondent, CTUIL, and the Petitioner to file their additional affidavits within a week, furnishing the information/documents as above. The Commission further permitted the Respondent, DNH, to file its reply, if any, within 10 days with a copy to the Petitioner, who may file its response, if any, within a week thereafter.

7. Subject to the above, the Commission reserved the matter for order.

By order of the Commission
Sd/-
(T.D. Pant)
Joint Chief (Law)