

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**NEW DELHI**

**Petition No. 436/TT/2025**

**Subject** : Petition for determination of transmission tariff for the 2024-29 tariff block for asset under “Requirement of additional FOTE of STM-16 capacity at Bhuj PS to cater to connectivity of RE Gencos” in the Western Region under Section 62 read with Section 79(1)(d) of the Electricity Act, 2003, Regulation 15(1)(a) & Regulation 23 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2023 and the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Condition of Tariff) Regulations, 2024.

**Date of Hearing** : **22.7.2025**

**Coram** : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson  
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member  
Shri Harish Dudani, Member  
Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member

**Petitioner** : Power Grid Corporation of India Limited

**Respondents** : Madhya Pradesh Power Management Company Ltd. and 5 Others

**Parties Present** : Shri Zafrul Hassan, PGCIL  
Shri Angaru Naresh Kumar, PGCIL  
Ms. Anshul Garg, PGCIL  
Shri Arjun Malhotra, PGCIL  
Shri Ranjeet Pandey, PGCIL  
Shri Ashish Alankar, PGCIL

**Record of Proceedings**

The Petitioner’s representative submitted that the present Petition has been filed to determine the transmission tariff for a transmission asset, namely, two additional FOTE of STM-16 Capacity (5 MSP Tejas Make) at Bhuj PS from COD to 31.3.2029. The Petitioner’s representative requested that the transmission tariff be allowed, as claimed in this Petition.



2. The Petitioner's representative sought a week's time to file a rejoinder to the reply filed by MPPMCL.

3. After hearing the Petitioner's representative, the Commission directed the Petitioner to submit the following information on an affidavit, within a week.

- i. According to Form-5A, the Letter of Award was issued to the contractual agency on 16.11.2021, which is before the IA date of 7.10.2023. Submit the reasons for the same.
- ii. Provide a detailed comparison of the awarded cost vis-à-vis the completion cost.
- iii. Provide a copy of the FORM-12
- iv. Provide the activity-wise reasons for the delay as per the following format:

Asset name	Activity	Original Schedule			Actual			Total time delay	Reasons
		From	To	Days	From	To	Days		

- v. The reasons for not claiming the expenditure under ACE in the Original Petition, where the tariff for the existing communication system at Bhuj Power Station is approved.
- vi. A copy of the Original Petition where the tariff is approved for the existing communication system/ FOTE at Bhuj Power Station.

4. After the hearing, the Commission directed the Respondents to submit their respective replies to the Petition, if any, by way of an affidavit within two weeks, with a copy to the Petitioner, who may file its rejoinder within a week thereafter.

5. Subject to the above, the Commission reserved the order in the matter.

**By order of the Commission**

**sd/-**

**(T. D. Pant)**  
**Joint Chief (Law)**

