

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
NEW DELHI**

**Petition No. 219/TT/2025**

Subject : Petition for truing up of tariff for the 2019-24 tariff period and determination of tariff for the 2024-29 tariff period for the asset under "System Strengthening Scheme in South-Western Part of Northern Grid (Part-B)" in the Northern Region.

Petitioner : Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (PGCIL)

Respondent : Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited and 17 others

Date of Hearing : **22.7.2025**

Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson  
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member  
Shri Harish Dudani, Member  
Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member

Parties Present : Shri Adarsh Tripathi, Advocate, BRPL & BYPL  
Shri Ajitesh Garg, Advocate, BRPL & BYPL  
Shri Zafrul Hasan, PGCIL  
Shri Vivek Kumar Singh, PGCIL  
Shri Vishal Sagar, PGCIL  
Ms. Supriya Singh, PGCIL  
Shri Ranjeet Pandey, PGCIL  
Shri Ashish Alankar, PGCIL

**Record of Proceedings**

During the hearing, the representative(s) of the Petitioner submitted that in compliance with the directions passed vide ROP for the hearing dated 17.4.2025, the Petitioner has filed the amended Petition vide affidavit dated 2.6.2025 and requested the Commission to allow tariff in the matter.

2. Learned counsels for the Respondents, BRPL and BYPL, sought time to file a reply in the matter.

3. After hearing the learned counsels for the Respondents and the representative(s) of the Petitioner, the Commission directed the Respondents, including BRPL and BYPL, to file their respective replies within two weeks with an advance copy to the Petitioner, who may file its rejoinder, if any, within two weeks thereafter.

4. The Commission further directed the Petitioner to furnish the following information on an affidavit within two weeks, with an advance copy to the Respondents:



- a. Basis for treatment for unrecovered depreciation.
  - b. The reasons for submitting a fresh set of tariff forms for the Additional Capital Expenditure (ACE) incurred in the 2019-24 tariff period.
  - c. The Petitioner had claimed the Capital Cost of ₹16333.62 lakh towards reconductoring works in Petition No. 264/TT/2025, whereas in compliance with the directions in Petition No. 94/TT/2024, the Petitioner has claimed the ACE of ₹14454.81 lakh against the same work in the instant true-up Petition. Submit the discrepancy/difference in the cost claimed in the instant Petition for the same work.
  - d. The Petitioner has claimed the ACE w.e.f. the financial year 2023-24, whereas the Petitioner has claimed the de-capitalisation w.e.f. 2024-25. Submit the justification for claiming the ACE before putting to use the new component/ completion of re-conductoring work of the transmission line.
  - e. The original date of de-capitalisation.
5. The Petition will be listed for hearing on **16.9.2025**.

**By order of the Commission**

sd/-  
**(T.D. Pant)**  
**Joint Chief (Law)**

