

CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
New Delhi

Petition No. 683/TT/2025

Subject : Petition under Section 62 read with Sections 79(1)(c) and (d) of the Electricity Act, 2003 and Regulation 15(1)(a) of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2023 and the applicable provisions of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2024 for determination of transmission tariff from Commercial Operation Date (COD) to 31.03.2029 for the Transmission System.

Petitioner : Patran Transmission Company Private Limited (PTCL)

Respondents : Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) and Ors.

Date of Hearing : 26.8.2025

Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member
Shri Harish Dudani, Member
Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member

Parties Present : Shri Venkatesh, Advocate, PTCL
Shri Abhishek Nangia, Advocate, PTCL
Shri Ashutosh Srivastava, Advocate, PTCL
Shri Aashwyn Singh, Advocate, PTCL
Ms. Indu Uttara, Advocate, PTCL
Shri Lokendra Singh Ranawat, PTCL

Record of Proceedings

The learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the present Petition has been filed for the determination of transmission tariff from COD to 31.3.2029 with respect to the Petitioner's transmission system, namely, Augmentation of Transformation Capacity by 1x500 MVA, 400/200 kV ICT (3rd) at 400/200 kV Patran (GIS) Substation along with GIS duct (at 400 kV and 220 kV) in new diameter of ICT-Tie-Line).

2. After hearing the Petitioner's counsel, the Commission directed as under:
- a) Issue notice to all the Respondents.
 - b) The Respondents to file their respective replies, if any, within two weeks, with an advance copy to the Petitioner, who may file its Rejoinder, if any, within one week thereafter.



3. The Commission further directed the Petitioner to submit the following information on an affidavit, with a copy to the Respondents, within two weeks:

- (i) CTUIL vide Office Memorandum dated 28.11.2022, has considered an estimated cost of ₹65.19 crore for the transmission asset, whereas the Petitioner has awarded the work at an amount of ₹79.85 crore. The reasons for awarding the transmission asset work at a higher cost than the estimated cost may be provided. Compared to the awarded cost of the transmission asset, the estimated completion cost varies by approximately ₹940.59 lakh. The Petitioner is directed to submit the reasons for this.
- (ii) As per Resolution dated 28.2.2025, the Revised Cost Estimate (RCE) of the transmission asset is ₹8926.00 lakh, but the Petitioner has mentioned the RCE of the transmission asset as ₹9651.00 lakh in the Petition. Clarify the said discrepancy.
- (iii) Revised Form-5 indicating the FR cost, RCE and the estimated completion cost of the transmission asset.
- (iv) Copy of Form-12.
- (v) Liability Flow Statement.
- (vi) As per the Auditor's Certificate, the Initial Spares as on COD are ₹212.99 lakh, whereas according to Form-13, the Initial Spares as on COD are ₹399.60 lakh. Clarify the discrepancy.
- (vii) Revised Auditor's Certificate indicating the Plant and Machinery Cost required for determining Initial Spares.
- (viii) Assessment of security requirements for the 2024-29 tariff period.
- (ix) Has any security already been deployed for the 400/220 kV GIS substation at Patran operated by the Petitioner? If yes, clarify whether the security charges claimed in this Petition are in addition to the charges incurred for security already deployed. If so, then submit the reasons for claiming the additional security charges for individual ICT under the current Petition.

4. The Petition will be listed for hearing on **21.10.2025**.

By order of the Commission
Sd/-
(T. D. Pant)
Joint Chief (Law)

