

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
NEW DELHI**

**Petition No. 143/TT/2025 with IA No. 97 of 2025**

Subject : Petition for truing up of the transmission tariff for the 2019-24 tariff period and determination of the transmission tariff for the 2024-29 tariff period for the Combined Assets consisting of (i) 400 kV Double Circuit Siliguri-Purnea Transmission Line, 400 kV Double Circuit Purnea-Muzaffarpur Transmission Line and 220 kV Double Circuit Muzaffarpur (PGCIL)-Muzaffarpur (BSEB) Transmission Line, in the Eastern Region; (ii) 400 kV Double Circuit Muzaffarpur-Gorakhpur Transmission Line in the Eastern-Northern Inter-region; and (iii) 400 kV Double Circuit Gorakhpur-Lucknow Transmission Line and 400 kV Double Circuit Bareilly-Mandola Transmission Line, in the Northern Region associated with Tala HEP of Powerlinks Transmission Limited.

Petitioner : Powerlinks Transmission Limited (PTL)

Respondents : Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (PGCIL) and Others

Date of Hearing : **20.11.2025**

Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson  
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member  
Shri Harish Dudani, Member  
Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member

Parties Present : Shri Venkatesh, Advocate, PTL  
Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Advocate, PTL  
Shri Punyam Bhutani, Advocate, PTL  
Shri Himanshu Bhushan, Advocate, DTL  
Shri Jatin Mahani, PTL  
Ms. Yogeshwar Priyansi, CTUIL

**Record of Proceedings**

The learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that none of the Respondents has responded to the IA No. 97 of 2025 for amendment of the prayers of the Petition. He further submitted that the pleadings in the matter are complete and that the Petitioner may be granted the tariff, as claimed in the Petition.

2. After the hearing, the Commission allowed the IA No. 97 of 2025 and directed that the amended Petition be taken on record. Accordingly, IA No. 97 of 2025 was



disposed of. The Commission also granted the Respondents a last opportunity to file their replies, if any, within 7 days, with an advance copy to the Petitioner, who may file its rejoinder, if any, within a week thereafter.

3. The Commission directed the Petitioner to submit the following information, on an affidavit, within a week:

a) Clarification regarding the discrepancy in de-capitalisation figures, as the Petitioner has claimed de-capitalisation of ₹409.58 lakh and ₹238.15 lakh for FY 2026-27 and 2028-29 respectively, whereas the original capital cost being de-capitalised for the respective years is ₹413.27 lakh and ₹207.53 lakh.

b) The present status of the OPGW scheme from CTUIL/NCT.

4. Subject to the above, the Commission reserved orders in the matter.

**By order of the Commission**

**sd/-  
(T. D. Pant)  
Joint Chief (Law)**

