

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
NEW DELHI**

**Petition No. 875/TT/2025**

Subject : Petition for the determination of transmission tariff for the 2024-29 tariff period for asset under 'Transmission Network Expansion in Gujarat to increase ATC from ISTS, Part-B' in the Western Region.

Petitioner : Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (PGCIL).

Respondents : Madhya Pradesh Power Management Company Limited (MPPMCL) and 6 Others.

Date of Hearing : **3.12.2025**

Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson  
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member  
Shri Harish Dudani, Member  
Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member

Parties Present : Shri Anup Jain, Advocate, MSEDCL  
Shri Ashwary Kathed, Advocate, MSEDCL  
Ms. Nishtha Goel, Advocate, MSEDCL  
Ms. Supriya Singh, PGCIL  
Shri Angaru Naresh Kumar, PGCIL  
Shri Vijay G., PGCIL  
Shri Arjun Malhotra, PGCIL

**Record of Proceedings**

The matter was called out for a virtual hearing.

2. During the course of the hearing, the representative(s) of the Petitioner submitted that the instant Petition has been filed for the determination of the transmission tariff for the 2024-29 tariff period.
3. Respondent No. 2 (MSEDCL) sought time of four weeks to file a reply in the matter.
4. After hearing, the Commission directed as under:
  - a) The Petitioner to implead CTUIL as a party in the instant Petition and file a revised memo of parties within a week;
  - b) Issue notice to all the Respondent(s), including CTUIL;

- c) The Respondent(s) to file their respective replies, within four weeks, with a copy to the Petitioner, who may file its rejoinder, if any, within two weeks, thereafter.
5. CTUIL is directed to furnish the following information, on an affidavit, within two weeks, with an advance copy to the Petitioner:
- a) Status of the 220 kV downstream Transmission System network under the scope of GETCO (Intra-State).
  - b) Whether the deemed COD of Asset-2 can be considered without commissioning the 765 kV Navsari (New) - Padghe transmission line, 765 kV line and the ICT bays Navasari (New) Sub-station?
6. The Petitioner is directed to furnish the following information on an affidavit, within two weeks, with an advance copy to all the Respondent(s):
- a) The Petitioner has claimed the COD of Asset-2 as 20.3.2025, in accordance with the fourth proviso to Regulation 27(1)(c) of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Indian Electricity Grid 350 Code) Regulations, 2023. Clarify whether Asset-2 can serve its intended purpose without the commissioning of the associated Navsari (New) - Padghe 765 kV D/C line, and 1500 MVA ICTs and ICT bays at Navsari Sub-station. If so, submit the justification for the utilisation of Asset-2.
  - b) Clarify the nomenclature of the transmission lines and the discrepancy of length of the transmission lines, as the scope of work for the transmission system has been considered in accordance with the IA dated 6.7.2022 - the 400kV D/C Navsari (New) (GIS) - Magarwada (GIS) - Kala (GIS) transmission line (139.34 kM), instead of the 400kV D/C Navsari (New) (GIS) - Magarwada (GIS) transmission line (80 Km) and 400 kV D/C Navsari (New) (GIS) - Kala (GIS) transmission line (110 Km), in accordance with the CTUIL's OM dated 13.1.2022.
  - c) Map all the Assets according to the IA dated 6.7.2022 *vis-à-vis* CTUIL's OM dated 13.1.2022;
  - d) Confirm whether the other elements of the Navsari (New) (South Gujrat) Sub-station approved vide IA dated 6.7.2022 have been commissioned or not. If so, submit the details of the CODs of the individual elements;
  - e) Justification of capital cost in comparison with awarded cost as specified in the Letter of Award (LoA);
  - f) Form-5 with respect to Asset-2 in a readable format;
  - g) Supporting documents confirming all the compensation payments made with respect to the Navsari (New) - Magarwada 400 kV D/C line
  - h) Both the Navsari (New) - Magarwada 400 kV D/C transmission line and Navsari (New) - Kala 400 kV D/C transmission line share a common stretch utilising multi-circuit (M/C) towers. Confirm the total length of this M/C portion covering



both assets. Additionally, clarify whether the Petitioner has apportioned the cost of this shared M/C portion between both the transmission lines, and if so, submit the details of the apportionment.

- i) Clarify the discrepancies of length mentioned in the Navsari (New) - Magarwada 400 kV D/C transmission line and the Navsari (New) - Kala 400 kV D/C transmission line as compared with the 10<sup>th</sup> NCT approval;
- j) Clarify whether the expenditure for Land, Building & Civil works has been considered under the P&M cost for the determination of Initial Spares with respect to Asset-2;
- k) True Copy of the English translation of documents pertaining to time overrun that were initially submitted in a local language.
- l) Liability Flow Statement for Asset-2, along with Excel Sheets in a readable format;
- m) The calculation for the Notional IDC along with Excel Sheets with proper linkages for both Asset-1 and Asset-2;
- n) Form-9C along with Excel Sheets with proper linkages for both Asset-1 and Asset-2;
- o) Cash IDC Statement along with Excel Sheets with proper linkages for both Asset-1 and Asset-2.

7. The above matters will be listed for further hearing on **15.1.2026**.

**By order of the Commission**

**sd/-  
(T.D. Pant)  
Joint Chief (Law)**

