CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
NEW DELHI

Petition No. 21/MP/2026

Subject	:	Petition under Section 79(1)(c) and (k) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 41 and 42 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Connectivity and General Network Access to the interstate Transmission System) Regulations, 2022 *inter alia* seeking relaxation from submission of Conn-BG 2 in terms of Regulation 37.10(b) read with Regulation 8.2(a).
Petitioners	:	Enfinity Global Clean Energy Private Limited (EGCEPL) and Ors.
Respondent	:	Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL)
Date of Hearing	:	**8.1.2026**
Coram	:	Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member Shri Harish Dudani, Member
Parties Present	:	Shri Venkatesh, Advocate, EGCEPL Shri Suhael Bhuttan, Advocate, EGCEPL Shri Nikunj Bhatnagar, Advocate, EGCEPL Shri Abhishek Thakur, Advocate, EGCEPL Shri Swapnil Verma, CTUIL Shri Ranjeet Rajput, CTUIL

Record of Proceedings

Learned counsel for the Petitioners submitted that the present Petition has been filed seeking indulgence of this Commission to relax and remove the operational difficulties arising from CTUIL's interpretation of Regulation 37.10(b) read with Regulation 8.2(a) of the GNA Regulations, and consequently, direct CTUIL to withdraw its letter dated 5.12.2025 and to process the connectivity applications submitted by the Petitioners. The learned counsel submitted that, as per the GNA Regulations, all three Petitioners have submitted Conn-BG1 to CTUIL, and additionally, the lead generator, i.e. Petitioner No.1, has also furnished Conn-BG2 to CTUIL. Whereas, in respect of the Petitioner Nos. 2 & 3, CTUIL had itself recorded the requirement of Conn-BG 2 as 'Nil' while granting the in-principle approval of connectivity to them. However, after the Third Amendment to the GNA Regulations, CTUIL *vide* letter dated 5.12.2025 has sought the submissions of Conn BG-2 even from Petitioner Nos. 2 & 3, even though they are only sharing a bay with the lead generator, i.e., the Petitioner No.1, who has already secured the said bay by giving Conn BG-2. The learned counsel also emphasised that CTUIL's reliance on the Third Amendment [Regulation 37.10(b)] to demand the Conn-BG2 from the Petitioner Nos. 2 & 3 is misplaced.

2. The representative of the Respondent, CTUIL, while accepting the notice, sought liberty to file a reply in the matter.

3. After hearing the learned counsel for the Petitioners and the representative of CTUIL, the Commission directed as under:

(a) Admit.

(b) The Respondent to file its reply within two weeks, with a copy to the Petitioners, who may file their rejoinders, if any, within a week thereafter.

(c) CTUIL to clarify that, as an in-principle grant for 100MW connectivity issued to EG Pavan Saurya Power Pvt Ltd at Kalyanpur PS, why one 220kV bay in ISTS is proposed at Sec-II of the JK-II PS, along with the reply.

4. The Petition will be listed for hearing on **10.2.2026**.

By order of the Commission
Sd/-
(T.D. Pant)
Joint Chief (Law)