

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
NEW DELHI**

Petition No. 875/TT/2025

Subject : Petition for the determination of transmission tariff for the 2024-29 tariff period for asset under “Transmission Network Expansion in Gujarat to increase ATC from ISTS, Part-B” in the Western Region.

Petitioner : Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (PGCIL).

Respondents : Madhya Pradesh Power Management Company Limited (MPPMCL) and 6 Others.

Date of Hearing : **13.1.2026**

Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member
Shri Harish Dudani, Member
Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member

Parties Present : Ms. Nishtha Goel, Advocate, MSEDCL
Shri Saurabh Mishra, Advocate, MPPMCL
Shri Kailash Jain, Advocate, MPPMCL
Shri Zafrul Hassan, PGCIL
Shri Angaru Naresh Kumar, PGCIL
Shri Vijay G., PGCIL
Shri Anshul Garg, PGCIL
Shri Divyanshu Mishra, PGCIL
Ms. Supriya Singh, PGCIL
Shri Mukesh Bhakar, PGCIL
Shri Arjun Malhotra, PGCIL
Shri Vivek Kumar, PGCIL
Shri Amit Yadav, PGCIL
Shri Vishal Sagar, PGCIL
Shri Ashish Agarwal, PGCIL
Shri Yatin Kalra, PGCIL
Shri Ashish Aggarwal, PGCIL
Shri Ranjeet Pandey, PGCIL
Ms. Jyotsna Agnihotri Rathore, MPPMCL



Record of Proceedings

The matter was called out for a virtual hearing.

2. During the course of the hearing, the representative(s) of the Petitioner submitted that all the requisite information sought in the Petition has been filed along with affidavits.
3. Respondent No. 1 (MPPMCL) requested the reopening of the e-filing portal to submit its reply.
4. Respondent No. 2 (MSEDCL) sought two weeks' time to file a reply in the matter.
5. After hearing, the Commission directed as under:
 - i) The Respondent(s), including MSEDCL & MPPMCL, to file their respective replies, within two weeks, with an advance copy to the Petitioner, who may file its rejoinder, if any, within a week, thereafter.
 - ii) CTUIL is directed to furnish the following information on an affidavit, within two weeks, with an advance copy to the Petitioner:
 - a) Whether completion and/or availability of any pre-required and/or associated transmission elements was a prerequisite for claiming deemed COD of the instant transmission asset?
 - b) The basis under which CTUIL approved the deemed COD of the transmission asset(s) covered under the instant Petition, without the commissioning of the 765 kV Navsari Sub-station?
 - c) Whether the transmission assets covered under the instant Petition can serve their intended purpose without the commissioning of the 765 kV Navsari (New) - Padghe line, the 765 kV line bays at Navsari (New), and the 765 kV ICT bays at the Navsari Sub-station (New)?
6. The Petition will be listed for further hearing on **19.3.2026**.

By order of the Commission

sd/-
(T.D. Pant)
Joint Chief (Law)

