

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
NEW DELHI**

Petition No.885/TL/2025

Subject : Application under Section 14 & 15 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Procedure, Terms and Conditions for Grant of Transmission License and other related matters) Regulations, 2024 with respect to Transmission License to SR WR Power Transmission Limited.

Petitioner : SR WR Power Transmission Limited (SWPTL)

Respondents : Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) & Anr.

Petition No.884/AT/2025

Subject : Application under Section 63 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for Adoption of Transmission Charges with respect to the Transmission System being established by the SR WR Power Transmission Limited (a 100% wholly owned subsidiary of Power Grid Corporation of India Limited).

Petitioner : SR WR Power Transmission Limited

Respondents : Central Transmission Utility of India Limited and Anr.

Date of Hearing : **10.2.2026**

Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member
Shri Harish Dudani, Member

Parties Present : Shri Rohit Jain, SWPTL
Shri Ritam Biswas, RECPDCL
Shri Shashank Singh, RECPDCL
Shri Ranjeet S Rajput, CTUIL
Shri Akshayvat Kislay, CTUIL
Shri S. Vallinayagam, Advocate, TNPDCL

Record of Proceedings

At the outset, the Petitioner's representative submitted that no comments had been received. However, Respondent No. 34, i.e., Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited (hereinafter referred to as "TNPDCL"), had raised certain issues. He submitted that the issue raised by TNPDCL pertains to the planning aspect of the transmission system, and since the Petitioner was not involved in the planning process, the same may appropriately be responded to by CTUIL.

2. The learned counsel for Respondent, TNPDCL, submitted that CTUIL may be directed to file its reply on the issue of whether the subject transmission component is to be treated as a National Component or a Regional Component. He submitted that



the transmission line has been planned and approved as a National Component-Renewable Energy (RE) intended for the evacuation of RE power from Bidar PS, and extracts of approvals from CMETS, SRPC, and other meetings support this position, necessitating appropriate clarification. He also pointed out that in Petition No. 884/AT/2025, the Petitioner has not clearly specified whether the tariff is to be recovered from all national beneficiaries (National Component-RE) or from regional beneficiaries (Regional Component).

3. In response to the query raised by Respondent, TNPDCL, the representative of the Petitioner submitted that the prayer in the Petition is in accordance with the bidding documents, which provide that charges for the project shall be recovered in terms of the extant Sharing Regulations, and that the same is consistent with the prevailing practice.

4. The representative for the Respondent, CTUIL, sought a week's time to file its reply in the matter.

5. Considering the submissions made by the Parties, the Commission permitted the CTUIL to file its reply within a week, with a copy to the other side.

6. The Petitions will be listed for the hearing on **24.2.2026**.

By order of the Commission

Sd/-

**(T.D. Pant)
Joint Chief (Law)**

