

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
NEW DELHI**

**Petition No. 343/TT/2025**

- Subject : Petition for truing up of transmission tariff for the 2019-24 period and determination of transmission tariff for the 2024-29 period for 400 kV Bawana – Mandaula Double Ckt. and 400 kV Bamnauli – Ballabgarh Double Ckt. transmission lines under Section 62 read with Section 79 of the Electricity Act, 2003, and under Regulation 15(1)(a) and Regulation 23 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2023 read with the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2019 and the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2024.
- Petitioner : Delhi Transco Limited (DTL)
- Respondents : Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (PGCIL) and Others

**Petition No. 175/TT/2017 (On Remand)**

- Subject : Approval under Regulation 86 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 1999 and Regulation 6 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2014 read with Sections 62 and 79 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for determination of transmission tariff for the 2014-19 tariff period for 400 kV Mandaula-Bawana Double Ckt. and 400 kV Ballabgarh - Bamnauli Double Ckt. transmission lines.
- Petitioner : Delhi Transco Limited (DTL)
- Respondents : Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (PGCIL) and Others
- Parties Present : Shri V. M. Kannan, Advocate, DTL  
Ms. Harimohana N, Advocate, DTL  
Shri Mayank Singh, Advocate, DTL  
Shri Shahbaaz Hussain, Advocate, DTL  
Shri Sachin Dubey, BRPL and BYPL  
Shri Adarsh Tripath, Advocate, BRPL and BYPL  
Shri Ajitesh Garg, Advocate, BRPL and BYPL  
Shri Mohit K. Mudgal, Advocate, BRPL



Date of Hearing : **17.2.2026**

Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson  
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member  
Shri Harish Dudani, Member

### **Record of Proceedings**

The matter was heard through a virtual hearing.

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the information sought by the Commission vide RoP dated 20.11.2025 has been filed by the Petitioner vide affidavit dated 8.1.2026. He requested that the Petitioner be permitted to file the comprehensive Written Submissions.
3. The learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 11 and 12, BYPL and BRPL, in Petition No. 175/TT/2017, sought more time to file the reply.
4. After the hearing, the Commission granted the Respondents, including BYPL and BRPL, a final opportunity to file their respective replies in the above Petitions, if not already filed, within two weeks, with an advance copy to the Petitioner, who may file its rejoinder, if any, within one week thereafter. The Commission also permitted the parties to file their respective Written Submissions after exchanging copies within two weeks.
5. Subject to the above, the Commission reserved its orders in these matters.

**By order of the Commission**

**sd/-  
(T. D. Pant)  
Joint Chief (Law)**

