

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
NEW DELHI**

Petition No. 91/MP/2026

- Subject : Petition under Section 79 (1) (k) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 19(3) of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Indian Electricity Grid Code) (First Amendment) Regulations, 2024 seeking extension of infirm power injection and Regulation 57 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Indian Electricity Grid Code) Regulations, 2023 and Regulation 65 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulation.
- Petitioner : Ayana Renewable Power Four Private Limited (ARPFPL)
- Respondent : Western Regional Load Despatch Centre (WRLDC)
- Date of Hearing : **11.3.2026**
- Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member
Shri Harish Dudani, Member
Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member
- Parties Present : Shri Basava Prabhu Patil, Sr. Advocate, ARPFPL
Shri Samarth Kashyap, Advocate, ARPFPL
Shri Anubhav Mishra, Advocate, ARPFPL
Shri Rahul K. Shaji, Advocate, ARPFPL
Shri Atulya Singh, Advocate, ARPFPL
Shri Gajendra Sinh Vasava, WRLDC
Shri Tushar R Mohapatra, WRLDC
Ms. Momai Dey, WRLDC

Record of Proceedings

At the outset, the learned senior counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner has filed its compliance affidavit in terms of the Record of Proceedings dated 24.2.2026. The learned senior counsel submitted that while the Petitioner has already commissioned 37.5 MW of solar capacity and 79.2 MW of wind capacity, the remaining 13.2 MW wind capacity, comprising 4 Nos. WTGs, could not be commissioned within the extension granted by WRLDC due to certain Force Majeure/unavoidable events. Accordingly, the Petitioner has sought further extension for the injection of infirm power under Regulation 19(3) of the Grid Code to complete the trial run of this 13.2 MW wind capacity. The learned senior counsel also submitted that a similar issue has already been considered by the Commission in the order dated 14.11.2025 in Petition No. 854/MP/2025 titled *NTPC Renewable Energy Limited v. WRLDC*. Further, the learned senior counsel submitted that this 13.2 MW wind capacity was ready for the charging and trial run and accordingly, prayed for expeditious granting of approval .

2. The representative of the Respondent, WRLDC, submitted that it has no objection to the matter and that the Commission may pass an order as deemed fit.
3. Subject to the above, the Commission reserved the matter for order.

By order of the Commission

Sd/-

(T.D. Pant)

Joint Chief (Law)