

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
NEW DELHI**

Petition No.837/MP/2025 along with IA No.100/2025

- Subject : Petition under Section 79 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulations 41 and 42 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Connectivity and General Network Access to inter-State Transmission System) Regulations, 2022 invoking this Commission's power to relax and seeking appropriate relief for retention and extension of its 400 MW connectivity at Solapur Sub-station, operationalised by 31.3.2025, on account of delay in commissioning of its 500 MW installed capacity Hybrid Renewable Energy Project.
- Petitioner : Serentica Renewables India Private Limited (SRIPL)
- Respondent : Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL)

Petition No.100/MP/2026

- Subject : Petition under Section 79 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 41 and 42 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Connectivity and General Network Access to the inter-State Transmission System) Regulations, 2022 invoking this Commission's Power to Relax and seeking appropriate relief for permitting change of fuel source from Hybrid to Wind for the 300 MW connectivity granted to Serentica Renewables India Private Limited.
- Petitioner : Serentica Renewables India Private Limited
- Respondent : Central Transmission Utility of India Limited
- Date of Hearing : **16.4.2026**
- Coram : Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member
Shri Harish Dudani, Member
Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member
- Parties Present : Shri Basava Prabhu Patil, Sr. Advocate, SIRPL
Shri Janmali Malikala, Advocate, SIRPL
Ms. Sai Snigdha Nittala, Advocate, SIRPL
Shri Shubham Arya, Advocate, CTUIL
Ms. Pallavi Saigal, Advocate, CTUIL
Ms. Shree Dwivedi, Advocate, CTUIL

Record of Proceedings

At the outset, the learned senior counsel for the Petitioner sought time to file a rejoinder to the Respondent/CTUIL's reply in Petition No. 100/MP/2026, served only yesterday, and to place on record certain subsequent developments in the matters.



2. The learned counsel for the Respondent/CTUIL also sought liberty to file/upload the said reply on the e-filing portal.
3. Considering the above, the Commission permitted the Petitioner to file its rejoinder as well as the additional affidavit to place on record the subsequent developments, if any, within three weeks. The Respondent/CTUIL was also permitted to file/upload its reply in Petition No.100/MP/2026 on the e-filing portal within a week. The interim directions issued *vide* Record of Proceedings dated 18.11.2025 in Petition No. 837/MP/2025 shall continue till the next date of hearing.
4. The Petitions will be listed for hearing on **23.6.2026**.

By order of the Commission

**Sd/-
(T.D. Pant)
Joint Chief (Law)**